

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/049,656	(02/13/2002	Hubert Baumgart	IN-5554	7707		
26922	7590	06/20/2005		EXAMINER			
BASF COF	RPORAT	ION	SERGENT, RABON A				
ANNE GER 26701 TELF		-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
SOUTHFIE			1711				

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u>م</u>			15			
Examinor Art Unit Falton Sergent 1711 171		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	<i>V</i> V			
Rabon Sergent 1711		10/049,65	6	BAUMGART ET AL.				
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eatherwise of themselves a window and the previous of 3 C.PR 1.13(a). In one work, however, may a reply be timely liked If the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (20) days, as reply within the statutery minimum of thisty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thinty period will again style of WOMDTH's tom the mediting date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than these months after the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than these months after the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than these months after the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than these months after the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than these months after the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than these months after the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Communication of a later may reply the mail than the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Scanding date of this communication. Any reply received by the Scanding date of this communication. Any reply reply received by the Scanding date of this communication. Any reply reply received date of the provision of the date o	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
A SHONTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period from reply specified above, the monthmus statutory period will apply within the statutory minimum of thinty (0) day will be considered timely. If NO period for reply a specified above, the monthmus statutory period will apply and will applies SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply a specified above, the monthmus statutory period will apply and will applies SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply a specified above, the monthmus statutory period will apply and will applies SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and the mailing date of this communication. Application is FINAL. 2b) This action is finAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3c) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 21.23.25-37.42 and 43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5c) Claim(s) 21.23.25-37.42 and 43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21.23.25-37.42 and 43 is/are rejected. 7) The drawing(s) filed on is/are. all located to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on is/are. all located and in accordance with an application f	·	Rabon Ser	gent	1711				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SD (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of SD (7) (1) (a) (a) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c		cation appears on the	cover sheet with th	e correspondence addre	ss			
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply any reply received by the Office later than three months at	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve unication.) days, a reply within the statu tutory period will apply and wil will, by statute, cause the apoli	nt, however, may a reply be tory minimum of thirty (30) I expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr cation to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this commo	unication.			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 21.23.25-37.42 and 43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21.23.25-37.42 and 43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB08) Paper No(s)/Mall Date . 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	Status							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 21.23.25-37.42 and 43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21.23.25-37.42 and 43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB08) Paper No(s)/Mall Date . 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>02 May 2005</i>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 21.23.25-37.42 and 43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21.23.25-37.42 and 43 is/are rejected. 6) Claim(s) 21.23.25-37.42 and 43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 21.23.25-37.42 and 43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some On None of:			on-final.					
Application of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 21.23.25-37.42 and 43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 4 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1 □ □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6 □ Other: 5 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6 □ Other:	3) Since this application is in condition to	or allowance except	for formal matters, _l		erits is			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 21.23.25-37.42 and 43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in his National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(a) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) ☐ Other:		,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Cheen and Inadamat Citice	<u>_</u>	e nending in the anni	ication					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 8-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21,23,25-37,42 and 43</u> is/ar	e rejected.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some column of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Copies: Selection of Trademark Office	•							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colonic None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of References Cited (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patentand Tindemark Office	8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election re	quirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5. Patent and Trademark Office	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: 5 Patent and Trademark Office	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) S Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Other: S Palent and Trademark Office	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)	objected to by th	e Examiner.				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Selection of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Selection of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Selection of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:			•	` '				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Patent and Trademark Office	11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. No	te the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-1	152.			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S Patent and Trademark Office 1. Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S Patent and Trademark Office	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	•			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office				ived in this National Sta	ge			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:				wod				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office		i loi a list of the certifi	ed copies not recei	veu.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office		• ,						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	<u> </u>							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: S. Patent and Trademark Office		O-948)						
TOLOGO (D. 144)	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informa		2)			
	S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summar	·	Part of Paper No /Mail Date	061505			

Art Unit: 1711

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 2, 2005 has been entered.

Page 2

- 2. Objection is made to applicants' amendment of May 2, 2005, because the faxed copy received is unclear with respect to the text in general and especially the text of the claims that is to be deleted. The "strikethrough" lines or notations are not readily discernible.
- 3. Claims 21, 23, and 25-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Firstly, it is unclear how the "at least" language within lines 7 and 8 of claim 21 are to be interpreted. Since the two ethyl groups and the two hydroxyl groups are positioned according to the recited two number combinations, it is unclear how the additional substitution patterns denoted by "at least" are to be interpreted or explained. The existence of these additional patterns does not seem possible.

Secondly, within claim 30, it is unclear if "linear", "branched", "block", "comb", "random", and "core/shell" are each intended solely to modify the "microparticles" language. In other words, is the claim language to refer to structures other than different types of microparticles?

Art Unit: 1711

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 21, 27-31, and 33-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by WO 00/15725.

The reference discloses polymeric coating compositions wherein diethyloctanediol is disclosed as a reactant. See page 15, line 10. Though the reference fails to disclose the particular isomer used, the claims are considered to be met despite applicants' argument, because the claims encompass all position isomers.

Art Unit: 1711

6. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See

Page 4

MPEP § 201.15.

7. Claims 21, 23, 25-37, 42, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated

by DE 19826715.

The reference discloses coating compositions derived from 2,4-diethyloctane-1,5-diol.

See abstract. Despite applicants' arguments, the abstract clearly discloses the curing of a polymerizable composition comprising the compound derived from 2,4-diethyloctane-1,5-diol, and the position is taken that the resulting polymer satisfies the "two or more monomer units" limitation. Despite applicants' remarks, the reference allows for polymerizing the argued diethyloctanediol derivative; therefore, the resulting polymer contains the argued monomer units.

8. Claims 21 and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by GB 778924.

The reference discloses polymeric compounds produced from 3,6-diethyl-1,8-octanediol. See page 4, lines 55 and 75-85. The position is taken that the skilled artisan would immediately envisage the use of the saturated diethyloctanediol within the disclosed polymers at page 4, lines 83-85. Furthermore, the position is taken in view of a polymer's definition requiring repeating units that the disclosed polymers inherently satisfy the argued "two or more monomer units" language.

9. Claims 21, 23, 25-37, 42, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by EP 940459.

Art Unit: 1711

The reference discloses coating and adhesive compositions derived from various diethyloctanediols, including 2,4-diethyloctane-1,5-diol. See page 2 and claims.

- 10. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.
- 11. Within polymeric systems, it is uncommon for weight average molecular weights and number average molecular weights to be equivalent; therefore, it is common for the polydispersity of such systems to exceed unity, often by a considerable margin; therefore, with respect to the rejections set forth within paragraphs 5-10, though the relied upon references fail to specifically recite applicants' claimed polydispersity range, the position is taken in view of the breadth of the claimed range and the preceding rationale that the claimed polydispersity range is inherently met by references. Applicants have not established that the prior art compositions possess polydispersity values outside of the claimed range.
- 12. Claims 21, 23, 25-37, 42, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 00/15725 or DE 19826715 or GB 778924 or EP 940459.

As aforementioned, the references disclose the use of diethyloctanediol in the production of polymers, suitable for use as coatings and adhesives.

13. Though the references fail to disclose each of applicants' claimed isomers, the position is taken that it would have been obvious to utilize any isomer of diethyloctanediol in the production of polymers, based upon the teachings of the references. The basis for this position resides with the expectation that compounds that have a close structural similarity possess similar properties.

In re Wilder, 563 F.2d 457, 195 USPQ 426 (CCPA 1977). In re May, 574 F.2d 1082, 197 USPQ

Art Unit: 1711

601 (CCPA 1978). This position is bolstered by the fact that the utility and function of the

argued compounds within polymerization reactions were well understood; therefore, the skilled

artisan would have had a reasonable expectation of success in substituting one compound for

another.

14. Applicants' response has been considered; however, it is not seen that the response

adequately rebuts the position that compounds having close structural similarities are expected to

possess similar properties and, as a result, are obvious in view of each other. Applicants'

argument that different structures may have different reactivities and rates of reaction is

insufficient to distinguish compounds having such close structural similarities. In fact, given the

similarities of the respective compounds and the absence of evidence to support applicants'

aforementioned position, applicants' argument is considered to be more speculative than factual.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone

number (571) 272-1079.

RABON SERGEN I PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6

R. Sergent June 15, 2005